With the European elections approaching, CAN Europe wanted to provide people with some background information on how Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) and political parties represented in the European Parliament – both national and Europe-wide – have supported or rejected climate and energy policy development in the last five years. With this information in hand, European citizens now have the opportunity to act on their desire for increased climate action in the upcoming election by voting for MEPs who supported stronger climate policies and are running for re-election or by casting their votes for the most supportive parties. CAN Europe’s European Parliament scorecards provide a ranking of both political parties and individual MEPs based on ten crucial climate and energy votes that took place during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.

The votes in question cover proposals to improve the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting emissions, the 2030 renewable energy and energy savings targets, the 2020 greenhouse gas emission reduction target, the 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction target, renewable energy policies, energy savings policies, the European support to the international climate negotiations, the integration of climate change in EU development policies and provision of international climate finance.

The scores were based on the votes of all MEPs on these ten issues. For each vote, MEPs were either given a point for voting positively (i.e. either ‘for’ or ‘against’, depending on if the text furthered or hindered the development of climate and energy policies) or no points for any of the other voting behaviours (i.e. ‘against’, ‘abstain’, ‘absent’, ‘didn’t vote’). Overall scores were assigned to each MEP by averaging out their points. The same was done for the European Parliament’s political groups and all national political parties represented at the European Parliament, based on the points of their respective MEPs. Finally, scores were grouped into four bands that we named for ease of use: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%) and very bad (0-24%).

More information on the choice of votes and details of each vote (e.g. content, context, references) can be found in the Scorecards Background Document.

To view and download the scorecards and other documents, visit:
www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

CAN Europe gratefully acknowledges support from the European Commission. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of CAN Europe and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote). The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
**Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action**

**Belgium**

---

**Ranking of National Political Parties**

Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies.

**Very Good**

- Socialistische Partij.AnderS (SP.A) [S&D]
- Groen (GROEN) [Greens/EFA]
- ECOLO [ECOLO] [Greens/EFA]
- Parti Socialiste (PS) [S&D]
- Nieuw-Vlaamse Alliantie (N-VA) [Greens/EFA]

**Good**

- Centre Démocrate Humaniste (CDH) [EPP]
- Open Vlaamse Liberalen en Democraten (OPEN VLD) [ALDE]
- Mouvement Réformateur (MR) [ALDE]

**Bad**

- Lijst Dedecker (DEDECKER) [ECR]

**Very Bad**

- Vlaams Belang (VB) [NM]

---

**Ranking of Parliamentarians**

**Very Good**

- Bart STAEX (GROEN) [100%]
- Dirk STERCKX* (OPEN VLD) [100%]
- Kathleen VAN BREMPT (SPA) [100%]
- Said EL KHADRAOUI (SPA) [90%]
- Marc TARABELLA* (PS) [90%]
- Philippe LAMBERTS (ECOLO) [85%]
- Mark DEMESMAEKER* (NV-A) [80%]
- Frédéric DAERDEN (PS) [80%]
- Guy VERHOFSTADT (OPEN VLD) [80%]
- Isabelle DURANT (ECOLO) [80%]
- Véronique DE KEYSER (PS) [75%]
- Frieda BREPOELS* (NV-A) [75%]

**Good**

- Anne DELVAUX (CDH) [70%]
- Ivo BELET (CD&V) [70%]
- Louis MICHEL (MR) [70%]
- Philippe DE BACKER* (OPEN VLD) [60%]
- Frédérique RIES (MR) [60%]
- MARIANNE THYSSEN (CD&V) [60%]
- Mathieu GROSCH (CSP) [50%]
- Annemie NEYTS-UYTTEBROECK (OPEN VLD) [50%]

**Bad**

- Derk Jan EPPINK (DEDECKER) [30%]

---

**European Political Group Membership**

- **ALDE** Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe
- **ECR** European Conservatives and Reformists
- **EFD** Europe of Freedom and Democracy
- **EPP** European People's Party
- **Greens/EFA** Greens/European Free Alliance
- **GUE/NGL** European United Left – Nordic Green Left
- **Ins/Non** Non-attached Members
- **S&D** Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

---

**About**

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parlamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote). The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (≥ 75-100%), good (≥ 50-74%), bad (≥ 25-49%), and very bad (≤ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction)

*This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
**The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
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The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote). The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%). For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction.

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
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Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

FINLAND

Ranking of European Parliamentarians
on Climate Action

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (≥75-100%), good (≥50-74%), bad (≥25-49%), and very bad (≥0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction.
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Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

FRANCE 🇫🇷

**RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES**

Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies.

**VERY GOOD**

- Partit u di a Nazione Corsa (PNC) - Greens/EFA
  - 100%
- Europe Écologie (ÉE) - Greens/EFA
  - 86%
- Parti socialiste (PS) - S&D
  - 84%
- Mouvement Démocrate (MoDem) - ALDE
  - 82%
- Parti Radical (PR) - EPP
  - 75%
- Citoyenneté Action Participation pour le 21ème siècle (CAP21) - ALDE
  - 70%
- Liste “Alliance des Outre-Mers” (AOM) - GUE/NGL
  - 70%

**GOOD**

- Union des Démocrates et Indépendants (UDI) - EPP
  - 70%
- Nouveau Centre (NC) - EPP
  - 69%
- Front de gauche pour changer d’Europe (FG) - GUE/NGL
  - 68%
- Mouvement pour un Mouvement Populaire (UMP) - EPP
  - 47%
- Front national (FN) - NM
  - 0%
- Mouvement pour la France (MPF) - EFD
  - 0%

**BAD**

- Mouvement pour le 21ème siècle (CAP21) - ALDE
  - 100%
- Liste “Alliance des Outre-Mers” (AOM) - GUE/NGL
  - 100%

**VERY BAD**

- Front national (FN) - NM
  - 100%
- Mouvement pour la France (MPF) - EFD
  - 100%

**RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS**

**VERY GOOD**

- Catherine SOULLIE® (UMP)
- Catherine TRAUTMANN (PS)
- Christophe BECHU® (UMP)
- Dominique BAUDIS® (UMP)
- Eric ANDRIEU® (PS)
- Estelle GREJER® (PS)
- François ALFONSI (PNC)
- François CASTEX (PS)
- Isabelle THOMAS® (PS)
- Kader ARIF® (PS)
- Liem HOANG NGOC (PS)
- Michel BARNIER® (PS)
- Michèlle RIVASI (ÉE)
- Nicole KILL-NIELSEN (ÉE)
- Pascale GRUNY® (UMP)
- Pascale GRUNY® (UMP)

**GOOD**

- Simon CREPIN (UMP)
- Sandy DE LO BLANC (PS)
- Christophe DEURBEL (PS)
- Michelle GERBER (PS)
- Christian LEEDEN (PS)
- Sandrine BELIER (ÉE)
- Bernadette VERGAUD (PS)
- Catherine GRÉZE (ÉE)
- Eva JOLY (ÉE)
- Gilles PARGNEAUX (PS)
- Henri WEBER (PS)
- Jean-Luc BENNAHMIAS (MoDem)

More Information: [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction)
Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
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Germany

Ranking of National Political Parties
Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

Very Good

- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (B90/DG) 87%
- Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (SPD) 85%
- DIE LINKE. (LINKE) 79%

Bad

- Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands (CDU) 41%
- Freie Demokratische Partei (FDP) 35%
- Christlich-Soziale Union in Bayern e.V. (CSU) 34%

European Political Group Membership

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe

Greens/EFA Greens/European Free Alliance

EGER European Conservatives and Reformists

European United Left – Nordic Green Left

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

NM Non-attached Members

SPD Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

Very Good

- Bernhard RAPKAY (SPD)
- Birgit SIPPEL (SPD)
- Elisabeth SCHROEDTER (B90/DG)
- Gerald HÄFNER (B90/DG)
- Jutta STEINRUCK (SPD)
- Martin HÄUSLING (B90/DG)
- Martina MICHELS* (LINKE)
- Matthias GROOTE (SPD)
- Norbert NEUSER (SPD)
- Peter SIMON (SPD)
- Petra KAMMERERVERT (SPD)
- Sven GIEGOLD (B90/DG)
- Ulrike RODUST (SPD)
- Barbara LOCHBIHLER (B90/DG)
- Barbara WEILER (SPD)
- Cornelia ERNST (LINKE)
- Franziska KELLER (B90/DG)
- Helga TRÜPEL (B90/DG)
- Helmut SCHOLZ (LINKE)
- Ismail ERTUG (SPD)
- Jan Philipp ALBRECHT (B90/DG)
- Jo LEINEN (SPD)
- Jutta HAUG (SPD)
- Knut FLECKENSTEIN (SPD)
- Rebecca HARMs (B90/DG)
- Udo BULLMANN (SPD)
- Wolfgang KREISSL-DÖRFLER (SPD)
- Franziska Katharina BRANTNER* (B90/DG)
- Bernd LANGE (SPD)
- Constanze Angela KREHL (SPD)
- Evelyne GEBHARDT (SPD)
- Gabrielle ZIMMER (LINKE)
- Jens GEIER (SPD)
- Jürgen KLUTE (LINKE)
- Karl-Heinz FLORENZ (CDU)
- Kerstin WESTPHAL (SPD)
- Peter LIESE (CDU)
- Reimhard BÜTIKOFER (B90/DG)
- Sabine LÖSING (LINKE)
- Sabine WILS (LINKE)
- Werner SCHULZ (B90/DG)

Good

- Lothar BISKY* (LINKE)
- Elmar BROK (CDU)
- Heide RÜHLE (B90/DG)
- Andreas SCHWAB (CDU)
- Bernd POSSELT (CSU)
- Dagmar ROTH-BEHRENDT (SPD)
- Michael CRAMER (B90/DG)

- Michael GAHLER (CDU)
- Nadja HIRSCH (FDP)
- Thomas MANN (CDU)
- Axel VÖSS (CDU)
- Birgit SCHNIEBER-JASTRAM (CDU)
- Christa KLAS (CDU)
- Elisabeth JEGGLE (CDU)

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

ABOUT

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.*** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

*** This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
### Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

**GERMANY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Gesine MEISSNER</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Hans-Gert PÖTTERING</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Hans-Peter MAYER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Hiltrud BREYER*</td>
<td>(B90/DG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Norbert GLANTE</td>
<td>(SPD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Reimer BÖGE</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Thomas HÄNDEL</td>
<td>(LINKE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43%</td>
<td>Alexander ALVARO</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43%</td>
<td>Anja WEISGERBER*</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43%</td>
<td>Birgit COLLIN-LANGEN*</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Alexander Graf LAMBSDORFF</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Dieter-Lebrecht KOCH</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Doris PACK</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Horst SCHNELLHARDT</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Ingeborg GRÄSSL</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Jorgo CHATZIMARKAKIS</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Michael THEURER</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Renate SOMMER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Thomas ULMER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Werner KUHN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Werner LANGEN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Albert DESS</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Alexandra THEIN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Angelika NIEBLER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Britta REIMERS</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Burkhard BALZ</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Daniel CASPARY</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Godelieve QUISTHOUDT-ROWOHLE</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Jürgen CREUTZMANN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Klaus-Heiner LEHNE</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Manfred WEBER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Markus FERBER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Markus PIEPER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Martin KASTLER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Monika HOHLMANN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Peter JAHN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Rainer WIELAND</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Wolf KLINZ</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Christian EHLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Herbert REUL</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Hermann WINKLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Sabine VERHEYEN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Silvana KOCHEIN - MERRIN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Holger KRAHMER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Joachim ZELLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Gabriele STAUNER*</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Kurt LECHNER*</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BAD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Thomas ULMER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Werner KUHN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Werner LANGEN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Albert DESS</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Alexandra THEIN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Angelika NIEBLER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Britta REIMERS</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Burkhard BALZ</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Daniel CASPARY</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Godelieve QUISTHOUDT-ROWOHLE</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Jürgen CREUTZMANN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Klaus-Heiner LEHNE</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Manfred WEBER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Markus FERBER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Markus PIEPER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Martin KASTLER</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Monika HOHLMANN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Peter JAHN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Rainer WIELAND</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VERY BAD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Christian EHLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Herbert REUL</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Hermann WINKLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Sabine VERHEYEN</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Silvana KOCHEIN - MERRIN</td>
<td>(FDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Holger KRAHMER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Joachim ZELLER</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Gabriele STAUNER*</td>
<td>(CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Kurt LECHNER*</td>
<td>(CDU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Martin SCHULZ became President of the European Parliament in January 2012 and has not voted since, he has therefore not been included.
Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

REGULAR CLIMATE ACTION on the agenda

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
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Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

** This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.
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**This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action
IRELAND 🇮🇪

Ranking of National Political Parties
Based on 2009-2014 Voting Records on Climate and Energy Policies

**VERY GOOD**

- Labour Party (LP) S&D
  - Alan KELLY* (LP) 100%
  - Emer COSTELLO* (LP) 100%
  - Proinsias DE ROSSA* (LP) 100%

**GOOD**

- Fianna Fáil Party (FFP) ALDE
  - Mairead McGuINNESS (FGP) 70%
  - Seán KELLY (FGP) 70%
- Fine Gael Party (FGP) EPP
  - Paul MURPHY* (SP) 67%
  - Gay MITCHELL (FGP) 60%
  - Brian CROWLEY (FFP) 50%

**BAD**

- Jim HIGGINS (FGP) 40%

**VERY BAD**

- Joe HIGGINS* (SP) 0%

European Political Group Membership

- ALDE: Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe
- ECR: European Conservatives and Reformists
- EFD: Europe of Freedom and Democracy
- S&D: Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats
- Greens/EFA
- GUE/NGL
- Non-attached Members

About

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (≥ 75-100%), good (≥ 50-74%), bad (≥ 25-49%), and very bad (≥ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES
based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partito Democratico (PD)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italia dei Valori - Lista Di Pietro (IdV)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatori e Social Riformatori (CSR)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>ECR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Südtiroler Volkspartei (Partito popolare sudtirolese) (SV)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fratelli d’Italia - Centrodestra Nazionale (FI-Cn)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il Popolo della Libertà (PDL)</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parliamentarian</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosario CROCETTA* (Pd)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvia COSTA</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea COZZOLINO (PD)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea ZANONI* (–)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>EFD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergio Gaetano COFFERATI</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>EFD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABOUT
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction.

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
## Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

**ITALY**

### BAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Parliamentarian</th>
<th>Political Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Gabriele ALBERTINI* (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Gianni VATTIMO (IdV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Luigi BERLINGUER (PD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Mario MAURO* (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Amalia SARTORI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Antonio CANCIAN (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Barbara MATERA (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Carlo CASINI (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Carlo FIDANZA (FI-CN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Giovanni LA VIA (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Herbert DORFMAN (SV-PPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Iva ZANICCHI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Lara COMI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Licia RONZULLI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Raffaele BALDASSARRE (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Rita BORSSELLINO (PD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Roberta ANGELILI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Sergio Paolo Francesco SILVESTRIS (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Alfredo ANTONIOZZI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Alfredo PALLONE (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Clemente MASTELLA (UDE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Crescenzo RIVELLINI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Marco SCURRIA (FI-CN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Salvatore IACOLINO (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Sergio BERLATO (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Sonia ALFANO (IdV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Tiziano MOTTI (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Giuseppe GARGANI* (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Aldo PATRICIELO (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Elisabetta GARDINI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Francesca BALZANI (PD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Luigi Ciriacio DE MITA (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Paolo BARTOLOZZI (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Potito SALATTO (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Salvatore TATARELLA (FLI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Gino TREMATERRA* (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VERY BAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Parliamentarian</th>
<th>Political Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Antonello ANTONORO (UDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Fabrizio BERTOT* (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Magdi Cristiano ALLAM (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Vito BONSIGNORE (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Claudio MORGANTI (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Giancarlo SCOTTÀ (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Lorenzo FONTANA (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Mara BIZZOTTO (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Mario BORGHEZIO (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Matteo SALVINI (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Oreste ROSSI (–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Fiorello PROVERA (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Francesco Enrico SPERONI (LN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Giovanni COLLINO* (PdL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Susy DE MARTINI* (–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More Information: [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction)
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting.

As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

** This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent. For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
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** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).
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For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
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## Ranking of National Political Parties

Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

### VERY GOOD
- GroenLinks (GL) 97%
- Partij van de Arbeid (PVDA) 93%
- Socialistische Partij (SP) 80%
- Democraten 66 (D66) 77%

### GOOD
- ChristenUnie (CU) 70%
- Christen Democratisch Appèl (CDA) 62%
- Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij (SGP) 60%

### BAD
- Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie (VVD) 33%
- Partij voor de Vrijheid (PVV) 3%
- Artikel 50 (50+) 0%

## Ranking of Parliamentarians

### VERY GOOD
- Bas EICKHOUT (GL) 100%
- Judith SARGENTINI (GL) 100%
- Thijs BERMAN (PVDA) 90%
- Emine BOZKURT (PVDA) 90%
- Judith A. MERKIES (PVDA) 90%
- Kartika Tamara LIOTARD (–) 89%
- Martie CORNELISSEN (GL) 80%
- Cornelis de JONG (SP) 80%
- Mariette SCHAAKE (D66) 80%
- Sophia in ’t VELD (D66) 80%
- Wim van de CAMP (CDA) 78%
- Jan MULDER* (VVD) 78%

### GOOD
- Gerben-Jan GERBRANDY (D66) 70%
- Peter van DALEN (CU) 70%
- Bastiaan BELDER (SGP) 60%
- Esther de LANGE (CDA) 60%
- Lambert van NISTELROOIJ (CDA) 60%
- Ria OOMEN-RUIJTEN (CDA) 60%
- Corien WORTMANN-KOOL (CDA) 50%

### BAD
- Toine MANDERS (VVD) 30%
- Lucas HARTONG* (PVV) 11%

### VERY BAD
- Jeanine HENNIS-PLASCHKAERT* (VVD) 0%
- Johannes Cornelis van BAALEN (VVD) 0%
- Laurence J.A.J. STASSEN (PVV) 0%
- Louis BONTE* (PVV) 0%
- Patricia van der KAMMEN* (PVV) 0%

---

**About**

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction).

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
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### European Political Group Membership
- **ALDE** Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe
- **EPP** European People’s Party
- **EFD** Europe of Freedom and Democracy
- **Greens/EFA** Green Party of the European Parliament
- **GUE/NGL** United Left – Nordic Green Left
- **S&D** Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/

VoteForClimateAction
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Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent. For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
**Ranking of National Political Parties**

Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Social Democrat (PSD)</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Național Liberal (PNL)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniiunea Democrată Maghiară din România (UDMR)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ranking of Parliamentarians**

**Very Good**

100% Adrian Severin (PSD)  
100% Cătălin Sorin IVAN (PSD)  
100% Corneliu VADIM TUDOR (PRM)  
100% Ioan ENCIU (PSD)  
100% Ioan Mircea PÂSCU (PSD)  
80% Vaslutea Viorica DĂNCILĂ (PSD)

**Good**

71% Cristian Silviu BUȘOI* (PNL)  
70% Dan Diaconu (PNL)  
60% Elena Ioana VĂLEAN (PNL)  
50% Dan Dumitru ZAMFIRESCU* (PRM)  
50% Cristian Dan PREDA (PD-L)  
50% Csaba SÓGOR (Pd-L)  
50% Iosif MATULA (PD-L)  
50% Norica NICOLAI (PNL)  
50% Renate WEBER (PNL)  
50% Theodor Dumitru STOLOJAN (PD-L)

**Bad**

40% Elena BĂȘESCU (PD-L)  
40% Iuliu WINKLER (UDMR)  
40% Monica Luisa MACOVEI (PD-L)  
40% Rares-Lucian NICULESCU (PD-L)  
40% Sebastian Valentin BODU (PD-L)  
40% Silvia-Adriana ȚÎCÂU (PSD)  
33% Ovidiu Ioan SILAGHI* (PNL)  
30% Lăslăto TŐKÉS (UDMR)

**Very Bad**

20% Traian UNGUREANU (PD-L)  
0% Eduard-Raul HELLVIG* (PNL)  
0% George BECAL*I (PRM)

**About**

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction)

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term. Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote). The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction).

### RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

Based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMER-Sociálna demokracia (SMER)</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ľudová strana - Hnutie za demokratické Slovensko (LS-HZDS)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenská demokratická a krestánska únia - Demokratická strana (SDKÚ-DS)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenská národná strana (SNS)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strana maďarskej komunity- Magyar Közösség Pártja (SMK-MKP)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krestánsko-demokratické hnutie (KDH)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>100%</th>
<th>Monika FLAŠÍKOVÁ BEŇOVÁ (SMER)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Katarína NEVEĎALOVÁ (SMER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Monika SMOLKOĽOVÁ (SMER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Vladimír MAŇKA (SMER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Boris ZALA (SMER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Eduard KUKAN (SDKÚ-DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Peter ŠŤASTNY (SDKÚ-DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Sergej KOZLÍK (LS-HZDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Alajos MÉSZÁROS (SMK-MKP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Jaroslav PAŠKA (SNS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Anna ZÁBORSKÁ (KDH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Edit BAUER (SMK-MKP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Miroslav MIKOLÁŠIK (KDH)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**About**

This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

**The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.**
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES
based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

**VERY GOOD**

- Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya (ERC) | Greens/EFA
  100%
- Iniciativa per Catalunya Verds (ICV) | Greens/EFA
  100%
- Partit dels Socialistes de Catalunya (PSC) | S&D
  95%
- Unió, Progreso y Democracia (UPyD) | NM
  90%
- Partido Socialista Obrero Español (PSOE) | S&D
  88%
- Bloque Nacionalista Galego (BNG) | Greens/EFA
  80%
- Partido Nacionalista Vasco (PNV) | ALDE
  70%

**BAD**

- Convergència Democràtica de Catalunya (CDC) | ALDE
  60%
- Partido Popular (PP) | EPP
  44%
- Aralar (A) | Greens/EFA
  33%
- Unió Democràtica de Catalunya (UDC) | EPP
  25%

**RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS**

**VERY GOOD**

- Enrique GUERRERO SALOM (PSOE)
- José Manuel GARCÍA-MARGALLO Y MARFIL* (PP)
- Josefina ANDRÉS BAREA (PSOE)
- Magdalena ALVAREZ* (PSOE)
- María IRIGOYEN PÉREZ* (PSOE)
- Miguel Angel MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ (PSOE)
- Oriol JUNQUERAS VIES* (ERC)
- Raimon OBIOLS (PSOE)
- Ramón JAUREGUI ATONDO* (PSOE)
- Raúl ROMEVA i RUEDA (ICV)
- Alejandro CERCA (PSOE)
- Eider GARDIÁZÁBAL RUBIAL (PSOE)
- Emilio MENÉNDEZ del VALLE (PSOE)
- Francisco SOSA WAGNER (UPyD)
- Inés AYALA SENDER (PSOE)
- Iñigo GÁRCEZ PÉREZ (PSOE)
- Juan Fernando LÓPEZ AGUILAR (PSOE)
- Luis YÁÑEZ-BARNUEVO GARCÍA (PSOE)
- Maria BADIA i CUTCHET (PSC)
- Ricardo CORTÉS LASTRA (PSOE)
- Sergio GUTIÉRREZ PRIETO** (PSOE)
- Dolores GARCÍA-HIERRO (PSOE)
- Vicente Miguel GARCÍS RAMÓN* (PSOE)

**GOOD**

- Izquierda Unida (IU) | GUE/NGL
  70%

**About**

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.

Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
## Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

### Spain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOOD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ana Miranda* (BNG)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrés Perelló Rodríguez (PSOE)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antolín Sánchez Presedo (PSOE)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonio Masip Hidalgo (PSOE)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Romero López (PSOE)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Riera Madurell (PSOE)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izaskun Bilbao Barandica (PNV)</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>María Muñiz de Urquiza (PSOE)</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willy Meyer (IU)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luis de Grandes Pascual (PP)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramón Tremosa i Balcells (CD)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Fraga Estévez (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francisco José Millán Mon (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabriel Mato Adrover (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Íñigo Méndez de Vigo* (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaime Mayor Oreja (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora* (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa Estarás Ferragut (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago Fisas Ayxela (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Bario (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verónica Lope Fontagné (PP)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BAD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Herranz García (PP)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Arias Echeverría (PP)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Zalba Bidegain (PP)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar Ayuso (PP)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvador Garriga Polledo (PP)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Andrés Naranjo Escobar* (PP)</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iñaki Irazabalbeitia Fernández* (A)</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar del Castillo Vera (PP)</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvador Sedó i Alabart* (UDC)</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VERY BAD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonio López-Istúriz White (PP)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Ortiz Vilella* (PP)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More Information: [www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction](http://www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction)
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent. For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction.

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote). The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

** This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.
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Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action

UNITED KINGDOM

80% ■ Edward McMILLAN-SCOTT (LdP)
80% ■ Fiona HALL (LdP)
80% ■ Glenis WILLMOTT (LP)
80% ■ Jean LAMBERT (GP)
80% ■ Sharon BOWLES (LdP)
80% ■ Sir Graham WATSON (LdP)
75% ■ Rebecca TAYLOR* (LdP)

GOOD

70% ■ Stephen HUGHES (LP)
60% ■ Alyn SMITH (SNP)
60% ■ Bill NEWTON DUNN (LdP)
60% ■ Chris DAVIES (LDP)
60% ■ George LYON (LDP)
60% ■ Ian HUDGHTON (SNP)
50% ■ Diana WALLIS* (SNP)
50% ■ Elizabeth LYNNE* (LdP)
50% ■ Michael CASHMAN (LP)

BAD

33% ■ Bairbre de BRÚN* (SF)
30% ■ Ashley FOX (CP)

VERY BAD

20% ■ Daniel HANNAN (CP)
20% ■ Giles CHICHESTER (CP)
20% ■ Jacqueline FOSTER (CP)
20% ■ James NICHOLSON (UCU-NF)

More Information: www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction
Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn’t (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

* This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.

** The votes cover the EU’s Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.